

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2001

LB 75

that the big manufacturers couldn't do certain things, they couldn't operate a dealership or some such things as that, and I opposed it; I opposed what the car dealers tried to do. As I've stated before, I oppose Senator Wehrbein's milk compact; there are other types of bills along this line that I've opposed, the giveaways to the corporations. You can look at this FDR that got money and then it pooped out, but it opened offices in Japan. There is a meat packing plant in Omaha that got some of that giveaway money; it violated immigration rules, health and sanitation rules and all kind of other laws; they got that money from the state. So the big corporations, regardless of the product they sell, are the ones favored by this and other legislatures. Unfortunately, around the country there are not people like me in other legislatures, so a domino effect takes place. One state says, we're going to give them everything they want, another state says, to beat them out we'll give them everything they want plus, and it becomes a battle among the states to see which one can squander the most of its citizen's resources to draw a corporation to that state, when the only goal of the corporation is to maximize its profits. That's what we're dealing with here in terms of these investors. If the bill that Senator Baker mentioned, about the ethanol plants being subsidized, requires that the producers, through checkoffs, provide the money, I say let them spend their money the way they want to. I wouldn't stand in the way of that. But when you have these people who are going to try to get the state to put in \$3 million or \$4 million a year, so they can make money, I'm not going to support that. Let me say it this way, there would have to be considerable sweetening before I would support something like that. I am watching Senator Connealy as he works this bill. I'm kind of proud of the kid; he's not doing a bad job; he's upholding his end of it; he's got a bad bill, a bad proposition, an indefensible position but, given what little he has to work with, he has done a...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...pretty good job. And he is pretty confident that he has 33 votes. Because he may have, I've got to make clear my intentions on this and other bills. So I want nobody to be surprised, I don't want any of these new people